

edinburgh and the lothians structure plan 2015

# publicity and consultation statement

THE CITY OF EDINBURGH COUNCIL  
EAST LoTHIAN COUNCIL  
MIDLoTHIAN COUNCIL  
WEST LoTHIAN COUNCIL

MARCH 2003

愛丁堡市及路芙茵區發展結構綱領  
宣傳及諮詢事項說明

ایڈنبرا ایٹڈ دی لوڈینز سٹرکچر پلان  
منصوبے کی تشیر اور صلاح و مشورے کا بیان

এডিনবরা এবং লোদয়ান অঞ্চলের গঠন সংক্রান্ত পরিকল্পনা  
প্রচারমূলক এবং পরামর্শমূলক উক্তি

خطة ادنبرة ولونيان للبناء  
إفادة الدعاية و الإستشارة

# CONTENTS

List of Abbreviations	4
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>CONSULTATION PROCESS</b>	<b>7</b>
Key Dates	7
First Stages	7
Major Issues Report	7
Draft Structure Plan	8
Finalised Structure Plan	9
<b>RESULTS OF DRAFT STRUCTURE PLAN CONSULTATION</b>	<b>10</b>
General	10
Response Form	11
Issues Raised in Written Responses	11
Issues Raised by Focus Groups	13
<b>APPENDIX 1: Draft Plan Advertisement</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2: Presentations to Groups</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3: Schedule of Comments Received (on CD-ROM)</b>	<b>16</b>

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

<b>CDA</b>	Core Development Area
<b>CD-ROM</b>	Compact Disk – read only memory
<b>CLEAR</b>	Community Local Environment Awareness Raising
<b>ELSPJLC</b>	Edinburgh and the Lothians Structure Plan Joint Liaison Committee
<b>MEP</b>	Member of the European Parliament
<b>MP</b>	Member of Parliament
<b>MSP</b>	Member of the Scottish Parliament
<b>NPPG</b>	National Planning Policy Guideline
<b>PAN</b>	Planning Advice Note
<b>PDF</b>	Portable Document Format
<b>SPP</b>	Scottish Planning Policy

# **INTRODUCTION**

- 1.1 This report sets out the procedures followed by the four Lothian Councils to publicise the Edinburgh and Lothians Structure Plan 2015. It outlines the main processes involved, the agencies and groups consulted and summarises the responses and key issues identified.
- 1.2 The plan has been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 and the Town and Country Planning (Structure and Local Plans) (Scotland) Regulations 1983. The Councils also had regard to guidance and advice contained in *SPP1 'The Planning System'* and *PAN37 'Structure Planning'*.
- 1.3 All the comments received during the consultation exercise were taken into consideration when preparing the finalised plan for submission to Scottish Ministers. Accordingly the Councils would like to thank those organisations and individuals who responded and thereby contributed to refining the format and content of the plan.
- 1.4 The finalised structure plan comprises a written statement and key diagram. The following additional documents support the plan:
  - *The Publicity and Consultation Statement*
  - *The Supporting Statement*
  - *The Urban Housing Capacity Study*
  - *The Action Plan*
- 1.5 Following submission, the plan will be placed on deposit for at least six weeks. During this period, copies of all the above documents may be viewed on Council web-sites, at Council offices or at public libraries in Edinburgh and the Lothians. Any objections or representations should be made directly to Scottish Ministers on the form provided.
- 1.6 The four council web-sites are:
  - [www.edinburgh.gov.uk](http://www.edinburgh.gov.uk)**
  - [www.eastlothian.gov.uk](http://www.eastlothian.gov.uk)**
  - [www.midlothian.gov.uk](http://www.midlothian.gov.uk)**
  - [www.westlothian.gov.uk](http://www.westlothian.gov.uk)**

# STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 A statement of publicity and consultation is a legal requirement of planning authorities when submitting a structure plan.
- 2.2 Section 8 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 states:
- (1) *When preparing a structure plan for their district and before finally determining its content for submission to the Secretary of State, the planning authority shall take such steps as will in their opinion secure –*
    - (a) *that adequate publicity is given in their district to the report of the survey under section 4 of this Act and to the matters which they propose to include in the plan,*
    - (b) *that persons who may be expected to desire an opportunity of making representations to the authority with respect to those matters are made aware that they are entitled to an opportunity of doing so, and*
    - (c) *that such persons are given adequate opportunity of making such representations.*
  - (2) *The authority shall consider any representations made to them within the prescribed period.*
  - (6) *A structure plan submitted by the planning authority to the Secretary of State for his approval shall be accompanied by a statement containing such particulars, if any, as may be prescribed –*
    - (a) *of the steps which the authority have taken to comply with subsection (1), and*
    - (b) *of the authority’s consultations with, and consideration of the views of, other persons with respect to those matters.*
- 2.3 The Town and Country Planning (Structure and Local Plans) (Scotland) Regulations 1983 elaborates on these requirements.
- 2.4 Regulation 3 states that the prescribed period for the purposes of making representations should be no less than 4 weeks and that this should be specified by the Councils at the time of publicity.
- 2.5 Regulation 4 (2) states
- A planning authority preparing a structure plan or, as the case may be, a local plan shall*
- (a) *consult the following-*
    - iii) *such other authorities or bodies as the planning authority think appropriate*
  - (c) *take such views into consideration.*
- 2.6 Regulation 12 states:
- Two certified copies of the structure plan shall be submitted to the Secretary of State together with a statement containing the following particulars:*
- (a) *a brief account of the steps the planning authority have taken to secure publicity required by section 6 (1) (a) of the Act;*
  - (b) *a brief account of the steps taken by the planning authority to secure awareness of persons referred to in section 6 (1) (b) of the Act of entitlement to an opportunity of making representations in that paragraph;*
  - (c) *a brief account of the opportunity afforded to such persons of making such representations;*
  - (d) *a brief account of the consultations had by the planning authority with, and of their consideration of the views of, persons mentioned in regulation 4 (2).*
- (The Town and Country Planning Act (Scotland) 1972 referred to as “the Act” in the 1983 Regulations has been superseded by the 1997 Act)
- 2.7 Further guidance on the contents of a publicity and consultation statement is provided in PAN37 ‘Structure Planning’ (para 47).
- 2.8 This publicity and consultation statement has been produced to comply with the above statutory requirements and Government guidance.

# CONSULTATION PROCESS

## Key Dates

Event	Date
Decision to review existing plan	Mar 2000
Notice of intention to prepare new plan	Aug 2000
Major Issues Report published	Aug 2000
Consultative draft published	Dec 2001
Consultation period	Jan-Mar 2002

## First Stages

- 3.1 At a meeting of the Edinburgh and Lothian Structure Plan Joint Liaison Committee (ELSPJLC) on 27 March 2000, the four Lothian Councils agreed to commence a full review of the Lothian Structure Plan 1994. The intention was that this would lead to the preparation of a replacement structure plan within an agreed timescale. The Councils also agreed to notify the Scottish Executive Development Department of its intentions.
- 3.2 A statutory advertisement notifying the public of the Councils' intention to begin preparation of a new replacement structure plan appeared in the Scotsman on 17 and 24 August 2000.

## Major Issues Report

- 3.3 It was also agreed at the meeting of the ELSPJLC on 27 March 2000 to prepare a Major Issues Report which was approved by the ELSPJLC on 3 July 2000 and published in August of that year. It identified the main issues for discussion in relation to housing, economic development, transport and the environment and presented a number of 'development options'. The report was distributed to 1,300 agencies and bodies with an interest in the development of Edinburgh and the Lothians. This included community councils, developers, major employers, environment groups, government agencies and quangos. To this were added 500 members of the City of Edinburgh Council's Citizen's Panel. Consultees were invited to complete a questionnaire enclosed in the report or make longer responses by letter.
- 3.4 There was a two month consultation period during August and September 2000 This was extended to mid October to allow community groups who had not met during the summer months to submit comments.
- 3.5 As part of the consultation process a presentation was made to the Edinburgh Equalities Forum (Disability, Race and Women's Equalities Forums) on 17 October 2000. A Planning Aid Workshop involving representatives of community groups from Edinburgh and the Lothians was held on 2 December as part of the Community Local Environment Awareness Raising (CLEAR) initiative.
- 3.6 Approximately 300 questionnaires and nearly 100 letters were received from the consultation.
- 3.7 From both the results of the questionnaires and the letters a number of general conclusions could be drawn. While there was generally broad support for the report and its contents, two opposing views were identified. Those representing the development industry felt that insufficient land was going to be allocated for housing or business to take account of demand. The opposing view put forward by the environment lobby was that the amount of new development proposed was unsustainable and might have damaging consequences for the environment.

- 3.8 The results of this consultation exercise were published in a report to the ELSPJLC in October 2001.

### **Draft Structure Plan**

---

- 3.9 The draft structure plan was published for consultation on 24 December 2001.
- 3.10 The consultation period took place between 3 January and 29 March 2002. Several late responses received during April were also taken into account.

#### **Circulation**

- 3.11 5,000 copies of the draft written statement (which contained a response form) were printed. 1,300 copies were distributed to those agencies and bodies on the MIR mailing list (including the 500 Edinburgh Citizen's Panel members) together with an accompanying letter on behalf of the four Councils. Additional copies were sent to:
- Councillors
  - MPs, MSPs, MEPs
  - Libraries
- 3.12 Copies of the written statement were made available at the offices of the four Councils. The response form could also be obtained separately from Council offices and libraries.
- 3.13 Each comment was summarised and logged together with the Councils' responses on a database that was accessible to all four Councils through the internet.
- 3.14 The original consultation material was retained at the offices of the City of Edinburgh Council where it was made available for public inspection from the end of March 2002.
- 3.15 3,000 copies of the Supporting Statement were printed. These were distributed to the 1,300 individuals and organisations who had previously received copies of the written statement and it was also made available on the websites.

#### **Publicity**

- 3.16 An **advertisement** was placed in *'The Scotsman'* on 10 January and in the *'The Evening News'* on 11 January 2002 (See Appendix 1).
- 3.17 **Press releases** were issued individually by each Council.
- 3.18 **Posters** and **explanatory leaflets** were distributed to the main libraries in Edinburgh (Central Library, George IV Bridge), Bathgate, Haddington and Dalkeith and were also placed in each Council's office.
- 3.19 Unmanned **exhibitions** were set up by each of the Councils in their main office reception areas. These were located at 1 Cockburn Street (City of Edinburgh), Bathgate/Linlithgow (West Lothian Council), Haddington (East Lothian) and Dalkeith (Midlothian Council).
- 3.20 The draft plan and other supporting material were placed on each of the Councils' **websites**. The response form could be completed online or downloaded and completed and returned by post.
- 3.21 In terms of the Edinburgh website, the plan was one of the top 50 publications accessed throughout 2002. In February, in the middle of the consultation period there were 433 hits. Between May and November the number of hits averaged at around 250 hits per month.
- 3.22 The number of hits for the Midlothian website exceeded 200 in both January and May and averaged at around 100 hits per month for the other months of the year.

#### **Consultation**

- 3.23 A total of 49 joint (involving all the Councils) and individual (by each Council) **presentations** were given. These are listed in Appendix 2.

- 3.24 To supplement the written comments, a programme of **focus group research** was undertaken. This was carried out on behalf of the four Councils by market research consultants.
- 3.25 A total of 16 focus groups were convened, 10 in Edinburgh and two in each of the other three Council areas. In all four Council areas individuals were selected to meet the various criteria required of the groups in terms of age, sex, economic status, etc.
- 3.26 In Edinburgh, the Council's Equalities Forum proposed individuals from groups including those from ethnic backgrounds and with disabilities to form a focus group to ensure that the views of minority groups were represented.
- 3.27 Workshop sessions were held in Edinburgh over two weekends (on 20 and 28 April 2002), with five focus groups running concurrently at each session. The focus groups in the landward Council areas were conducted individually on weekday evenings (week beginning 15 April).

#### **Other**

- 3.28 Various **meetings and informal discussions** were held with the adjoining strategic planning authorities of Fife, Scottish Borders, Falkirk and Glasgow and the Clyde Valley.
- 3.29 **Development options exercises** were undertaken by East Lothian and West Lothian Councils at the same time as the structure plan consultation exercise.
- 3.30 The preliminary findings from the consultation exercise were summarised and presented to an informal meeting of the ELSPJLC Planning Convener's on 4 June 2002.

#### **Finalised Structure Plan**

---

- 3.31 The four Lothian Councils have taken account all the comments received as a result of the draft structure plan consultation exercise and the text has been amended accordingly. In addition, other necessary changes have been made e.g. in response to changes in planning guidance or legislation.
- 3.32 The finalised plan was approved by the ELSPJLC on 17 March 2003.

# RESULTS OF DRAFT STRUCTURE PLAN CONSULTATION

This section of the report summarises the comments received on the draft structure plan, the Councils' responses to these and any subsequent changes made and incorporated in the finalised plan.

## General

- 4.1 In total, nearly 400 responses were received as a result of the draft structure plan consultation exercise. 270 of the responses (nearly 70%) were directly linked to the mailshot as shown in Fig 1 below.

**Fig 1: Results of Consultation**

MAILSHOT	RETURNED FROM MAILSHOT	NEW REPLIES	TOTAL
1,316	270*	127	397

\*mailing list response rate: 20%

- 4.2 The breakdown by category of respondent is shown in Figure 2 below.

**Figure 2: Category of Respondent**

Category	No of Responses	% of Total
Health	2	1%
Education	2	1%
Economic Development	3	1%
Architect/Planner	4	1%
Minerals/Waste	6	2%
Government	7	2%
Political	7	2%
Retail	12	3%
Transport	14	4%
Business	18	5%
Environment	33	8%
Housebuilder	35	9%
Edinburgh Citizen's Panel	43	11%
Community	48	12%
Land/Property	51	13%
Individuals	112	28%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>397</b>	<b>100%</b>

- 4.3 Approximately 2,500 individual comments were received. Figure 3 analyses the comments received by the relevant chapter in the written statement.

**Fig 3: Comments by Chapter of Written Statement**

Chapter	No. of Comments	% of Total
Strategy	683	27%
Housing	704	28%
Economic Development	212	8%
Transport	316	12%
Retail	116	5%
Environment	373	15%
Implementation	63	3%
Other	73	3%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,540</b>	<b>100%</b>

## Response Form

---

4.4 175 completed response forms were received (with and without letters). The analysis of these produced the following results:

### **Balance**

Nearly two thirds of respondents (63%) thought that the plan was balanced in terms of its content. Those issues thought to be overstated were the amount of new housing and the need to protect the Green Belt. Those considered to be understated were infrastructure, in particular transportation provision, and environmental/sustainability issues.

### **Core Development Areas (CDAs)**

Opinion was largely divided on the form and location of the core development areas with less than half (42%) in favour of those proposed. Concerns were expressed about the 'over-development' of those in the landward authorities of Midlothian, East Lothian and West Lothian.

### **Land Allocated for Development**

While 60% of respondents thought the amount of development proposed was about right, a quarter thought that too much development had been allocated.

### **Presentation**

80% of respondents found the overall plan easy to read. 60% experienced difficulties with its style; 29% with its diagrams and 12% with its layout. A number of respondents felt that the text could have been written in a clearer style with less technical jargon. It was also suggested that the key diagram could have been clearer.

### **Major Issues Report**

Just over a third (36%) of respondents had also made comments on the Major Issues Report in 2000.

### **Written Responses**

Every individual comment received has been considered by the four Councils and a response drafted to each. These are summarised in Appendix 3 of this report. Due to the size of this appendix, it has been produced as a PDF file on a CD-ROM inserted within the back cover. The CD contains instructions on how to locate a comment. Alternatively, the full database can be inspected on-line by visiting any of the Council websites listed in Chapter 1.

## Issues Raised in Responses

---

4.5 The main points identified from the letters were as follows:

### **GENERAL**

While there was broad contentment with the layout and content of plan, the main concern was whether there were sufficient resources to support the proposals.

### **STRATEGY**

Over a quarter (27%) of the comments received related to the plan strategy.

### **Sustainability**

There was concern that the plan strategy (and resulting policies) was not sustainable enough.

### **Impact on Central Belt**

It was felt that the plan did not discuss the possibility of spreading the growth anticipated in Edinburgh and the Lothians to other areas of Central Scotland and beyond.

### **Definition of the CDAs**

There was concern about the inclusion of some CDAs, in particular those with areas of undeveloped countryside. It was suggested that these areas be defined more clearly on the key diagram.

### **Prioritising CDAs**

It was suggested that the CDAs be prioritised and a sequential approach to development pursued to take account of the fact that the funding needed to resource the proposals may come on stream at different times.

### **Newbridge/Kirkliston/Ratho CDA**

It was suggested that the Newbridge/Kirkliston/Ratho CDA be regarded as a last option or even deleted from the list of CDAs.

### **South East Wedge**

It was felt that reference to the South East Wedge should be strengthened as it is still a major strategic development area (albeit ongoing).

## **HOUSING**

More comments were received for housing (28%) than any other subject area of the plan.

### **Housing Need**

There was criticism of the methods used for calculating housing need and a suggestion that demand had been underestimated. It was suggested that the finalised plan should adopt the 2000-based population and household projections as these are the most up to date.

### **Amount of land allocated for housing**

There was concern that the four sources of land identified in the plan will be insufficient to meet proposed housing targets. In particular it was suggested that there was an over-reliance on both windfall and constrained sites.

### **Adherence to recent national planning guidance**

It was suggested that housing policy should be updated to bring it more into line with recent national planning policy guidance and in particular the draft NPPG 3 Planning for Housing and draft PAN38 Housing Land.

### **Affordable Housing**

While there was general support for a policy on affordable housing, there was some concern that need was to be assessed at local level by individual Councils, rather than at structure plan level (considered to be a requirement of national guidance).

### **Monitoring**

There was concern that Policy HOU10 was unclear as to what targets were to be monitored (completions or allocations) and how any shortfalls should be addressed.

## **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

### **West Edinburgh**

West Edinburgh was identified as an issue with views generally divided between a pro-development lobby seeking a co-ordinated approach to the development of the airport area and an environmental lobby concerned about encroachment on the Green Belt.

## **TRANSPORT**

### **Funding of Transport**

Concerns were expressed about the funding of transport proposals in the plan. The proposals were considered ambitious yet relied too heavily on sources of finance which are uncertain i.e. government funding, road-user charging, additional private finance. There was some doubt as to what would happen if the funding sources did not materialise.

## **RETAIL**

### **Definition of Retail Centres**

There was some question as to why certain centres were included in Schedule 6.1 Town Centres when others were included in Schedule 6.2 as Other Major Centres. It was suggested that the 'better performers' be moved to Schedule 6.1.

## **ENVIRONMENT**

### **Coverage**

While in support of broad proposals, it was felt that the chapter was weak in a number of areas. Some issues had been omitted e.g. SUDS and air quality; others dealt with inadequately e.g. flooding and waste.

### **Green Belt**

There was some concern about the future status of the Green Belt (particularly on the west side of the City) and the lack of vision for it in the plan. The need to release any Green Belt land for development was also questioned. It was suggested that a new study be undertaken to review boundaries.

## **INFRASTRUCTURE**

### **Developer Contributions**

It was felt that there was an over-reliance on developers to fund the plan and that the preferred mechanisms for delivery were not clear. There was some concern that developers might abandon brownfield sites in favour of greenfield sites if asked to fund infrastructure.

## **Issues Raised by Focus Groups**

---

- 4.6 In general, the proposals in the plan were well received with the overall aims and vision endorsed. However, some doubts as to the practicality and/or affordability of some of the proposals were expressed. There was also some criticism of the document in relation to its style and its presentation.
- 4.7 There was general agreement with the locations of the CDAs and the types of development proposed in them. In terms of housing, the preference was for expanding existing settlements rather than creating new ones. There was particular concern about the need to address housing affordability. It was felt that economic development should be directed to other areas of the Lothians, in particular to East Lothian and Midlothian.
- 4.8 Whilst there was support for the proposals on transport, there was doubt as to whether these were realistic or achievable. The need to have an integrated public transport system was considered a priority. There was little support for congestion charging in Edinburgh.
- 4.9 There was some resistance to new development on Green Belt land with the exception of controlled use around the airport and concern about the effects of development on rural areas and small villages.
- 4.10 It was agreed that the existing retail centres (including Edinburgh City Centre) should be improved. However, there was opposition to more out of town retail parks or large new retail developments.

# APPENDIX 1

## Draft Plan Advertisement

---

### Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997

### EDINBURGH AND THE LOTHIANS STRUCTURE PLAN 2001

### PUBLICATION OF REPLACEMENT PLAN IN DRAFT FOR CONSULTATION

**East Lothian Council, the City of Edinburgh Council, Midlothian Council and West Lothian Council have jointly prepared the above structure plan for land within the combined Council areas. When approved, this will replace the Lothian Structure Plan 1994.**

In August 2000 the Councils published a discussion paper called the Major Issues Report as a first step towards preparing the replacement plan. The responses received from this consultation exercise have helped with the preparation of this draft plan.

The plan contains a response form for making comments. The form or any other written responses should be returned to the FREEPOST address on or before Friday 29th March 2002.

The draft plan is available for inspection at public libraries and on the following websites:

[www.edinburgh.gov.uk](http://www.edinburgh.gov.uk)

[www.midlothian.gov.uk](http://www.midlothian.gov.uk)

[www.westlothian.gov.uk](http://www.westlothian.gov.uk)

It is also available for inspection or purchase at the following Council offices:

#### **The City of Edinburgh Council**

City Development Department  
1 Cockburn Street  
Edinburgh  
EH1 1ZJ

#### **Contact: Sarah Hartop**

Tel: 0131 469 3934

e-mail: [s.hartop@edin-city-dev.demon.co.uk](mailto:s.hartop@edin-city-dev.demon.co.uk)  
(from Feb 2002 .gov.uk)

#### **Midlothian Council**

Strategic Services  
Midlothian House  
Buccleuch Street  
Dalkeith  
Midlothian EH22 1YL

#### **Contact: Janice Long**

Tel: 0131 271 3461

e-mail: [janice.long@midlothian.gov.uk](mailto:janice.long@midlothian.gov.uk)

#### **East Lothian Council**

Env and Tech Services  
John Muir House  
Court Street  
Haddington  
East Lothian EH41 3HA

#### **Contact: Ian Glen**

Tel: 01620 827395

e-mail: [iglen@eastlothian.gov.uk](mailto:iglen@eastlothian.gov.uk)

#### **West Lothian Council**

Strategic Planning  
Strategic Services  
County Buildings  
Linlithgow  
West Lothian EH49 7EZ

#### **Contact: Craig McCorrison**

Tel: 01506 775269

e-mail: [craig.mccorrison@westlothian.gov.uk](mailto:craig.mccorrison@westlothian.gov.uk)

December 2001

Peter Collins, Head of Environment, East Lothian Council

Andrew Holmes, Director of City Development, The City of Edinburgh Council

John Allan, Director Strategic Services, Midlothian Council

Jim Dickson, Corporate Manager Strategic Services, West Lothian Council

# APPENDIX 2

## Presentations to Groups

<b>2001</b>	
Capital Rail Action Group	11 September
Edinburgh Partnership Group	12 September
Edinburgh and the Lothians Economic Forum	24 September
Lothian Authorities Forum	29 October
Homes for Scotland	28 November
Scottish Enterprise Edinburgh and Lothians	05 December
<b>2002</b>	
Edinburgh West Local Development Committee	08 January
Edinburgh Central Local Development Committee	09 January
Scottish Financial Enterprise	10 January
Edinburgh Civic Forum	17 January
Scottish Executive	18 January
Edinburgh South Local Development Committee	21 January
Edinburgh North Local Development Committee	22 January
Edinburgh Sustainable Development Partnership	29 January
Edinburgh Pentlands Development Committee	31 January
Livingston South Workshop Focus (1)	05 February
Uphall Workshop Focus	05 February
Edinburgh East Local Development Committee	06 February
East Calder/Kirknewton Workshop Focus	07 February
South Edinburgh Partnership	11 February
Armadale Area Workshop Focus	12 February
West Calder/Polbeth Workshop Focus (1)	14 February
West Lothian Housebuilders	15 February
Linlithgow Area Workshop Focus	19 February
Bathgate Workshop Focus	20 February
Linlithgow Bridge Area Workshop Focus	25 February
Winchburgh/Broxburn Area Workshop Focus	26 February
Princes Street and George Street Associations	27 February
Livingston North Workshop Focus	27 February
Whitburn Area Workshop Focus	28 February
Friends of the Earth Edinburgh	28 February
Dunbar Community Council	28 February
East Lothian Sustainable Development Forum	02 March
Midlothian Community Councils Workshop	05 March
Midlothian Community Councils Workshop	06 March
North Berwick Community Workshops	06 March
Edinburgh Equality Forums	06 March
Livingston South Workshop Focus (2)	06 March
West Lothian Business Organisations	12 March
Musselburgh Community Workshop	13 March
Livingston South Workshop Focus (3)	14 March
Prestonpans Community Workshop	16 March
Edinburgh Chamber of Commerce	18 March
West Calder/Polbeth Workshop Focus (2)	19 March
Uphall Community Council	20 March
East Lothian Association of Community Councils	21 March
Livingston South Workshop (4)	21 March
Haddington Community Workshop	23 March
Tranent Community Workshop	03 April

# ***APPENDIX 3***

## **Schedule of Comments Received**

---

This Appendix can be found on the CD-ROM supplied in the inside back cover of this report.